

BLIND TRANSPORT FORMAT DETECTION FOR COMMUNICATION

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to communication systems and in particular, to blind transport format detection within such communication systems.

Background of the Invention

During communication, a mobile unit may be transmitting several differing data streams (transport channels) over a single over-the-air channel. For example, control and application data may be multiplexed onto a single over-the-air channel transmitted to infrastructure equipment. Each data stream may be capable of being transmitted in several formats. For example, each data stream has its own bit rate, channel coding type, block size, transmission time interval (TTI), . . . , etc.

FIG. 1 illustrates the multiplexing of two data streams. Although each data stream may in actuality be capable of transmitting in many differing transport formats (TFs), for simplicity data streams 101 and 102 are shown having only two transport formats. As shown data stream 1 is capable of transmitting at 0 or 9.6 Kbps, while data stream 2 is capable of transmitting at 0 or 300 Kbps. That is, data stream 1 has two differing TFs, with $TF_{11}=0$ Kbps and $TF_{12}=9.6$ Kbps, while data stream 2 also has two differing transport formats with $TF_{21}=0$ Kbps and $TF_{22}=300$ Kbps.

At any given time, each data stream may be transmitting any of their various transport formats. For example, a transport format combination (TFC) of $TF_{11}=0$ Kbps, and $TF_{22}=300$ Kbps may be entering multiplexer 101. As illustrated in FIG. 1, there exists four transport format combinations, with $TFC1=\{TF_{11}, TF_{21}\}$, $TFC2=\{TF_{11}, TF_{22}\}$, $TFC3=\{TF_{12}, TF_{21}\}$, and $TFC4=\{TF_{12}, TF_{22}\}$. In general, if there exists I transport channels each of which has J_i transport formats,

there exists $\prod_{i=1}^I J_i$ possible transport format combinations.

During blind transport format detection, a receiver has no indication which of the $K = \prod_{i=1}^I J_i$ possible transport format combinations is being utilized by the transmitter. Prior-art solutions to the problem have dealt with determining the transport format of a single channel only. For example, section A.1.2 of the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) TS 25.212 v3.5.0 describes a blind transport format detection of a single data channel using a cyclic-redundancy check (CRC). Such prior-art methods fail to describe the determination of a transport format where multiple data channels are multiplexed onto a single over-the-air channel. Therefore, a need exists for a blind transport format detection for a received signal that efficiently determines what transport format combination is currently being utilized by a transmitter multiplexing several transport channels onto a single over-the-air channel.

Brief Description of the Drawings

FIG. 1 illustrates prior-art data transmission.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram of a transmitter in accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram of a receiver in accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 4 is a flow chart showing operation of the receiver in accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

Detailed Description of the Drawings

To address the above-mentioned need, a receiver is provided that efficiently determines what transport format combination is currently being utilized by a transmitter multiplexing several transport channels onto a single over-the-air channel. The receiver described below estimates the transmitted sequence and, not knowing the transport format combination being utilized, makes estimates of the information bits for each of the possible transport format combinations. Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC) metrics are determined (one for

each transport or data channel) for each possible transport format combination, and these CRC metrics are combined into a single transport format combination metric for the particular transport format combination being tested.

Testing all possible transport format combinations results in multiple CRC metrics for each transport format combination, and a single transport format combination metric for each possible transport format combination. The transport format combination with the greatest transport format combination metric is the estimate of the transport format combination that is currently being utilized by the transmitter.

The present invention encompasses a method for blind transport format detection. The method comprising the steps of receiving an over-the-air signal comprising a plurality of transport channels multiplexed onto the over-the-air signal, wherein each of the plurality of transport channels comprises a plurality of transport formats, and determining a plurality of Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC) metrics for each of the transport channels and a first transport format. In addition, a transport format combination metric is determined based on the plurality of CRC metrics and a transport format is determined based on the transport format combination metric.

The present invention additionally encompasses a method for blind transport format detection. The method comprises the steps of (a) receiving an over-the air signal comprising I data (transport) channels, (b) determining I Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC) metrics for the I data channels, and (c) determining a transport format combination metric for the I data channels based on the CRC metrics for the I data channels. Steps b-c are repeated for each possible transport format combination, and a transport format combination is determined corresponding to a largest transport format combination metric.

The present invention additionally encompasses an apparatus comprising a de-multiplexer having a data stream as an input, wherein the data stream comprises a plurality of transport channels, each having a plurality of transport channel formats, the de-multiplexer outputting a plurality of channels based on a particular transport format combination, a plurality of Cyclic Redundancy Checking (CRC) circuitry, each having one of the plurality of channels as an input and outputting a CRC for the channel, and a logic unit having a plurality of CRC values as an input and outputting a transport format combination metric based on the plurality of CRC values.

Turning now to the drawings, wherein like numerals designate like components, FIG. 2 is a block diagram of transmitter 200 in accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention. As shown, transmitter 200 comprises, rate matcher 203, multiplexer 201, and spreader 205. As shown, *I* transport channels enters rate matcher 203, where information bits from each transport channel are punctured or repeated. The *I* outputs of the rate matcher 203 are multiplexed onto a single data stream identified as a Coded Composite Transport Channel (CCTrCH). Spreader 205 spreads the data stream with a channelization code whose length is variable depending on the data rate of the CCTrCH and outputs a channel stream having a constant chip rate. In particular, since data entering spreader 205 may have one of many differing data rates, spreading circuitry 205 appropriately spreads the data with one of several available channelization codes in order to achieve a constant output chip rate. The channel stream is then modulated and transmitted via antenna 207.

In the preferred embodiment of the present invention transmitter 200 multiplexes *several* data channels (transport channels) onto a single over-the-air channel 209. Each transport channel has a plurality of transport formats suitable for transmission. As discussed above, for the *I* transport channels (each of which has J_i transport formats), there exists K possible transport format combinations (TFCs), where $K = \prod_{i=1}^I J_i$.

While there exists methods for a receiver to determine a transport format when a single data channel (transport channel) is utilized, there currently exists no method to determine a transport format *combination* where several transport channels are multiplexed onto a single binary sequence. In order to solve this problem, the receiver of FIG. 3 is provided.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram of receiver 300 in accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention. As shown receiver 300 comprises despreader 301, rate matcher 307, de-multiplexer 305, decoder 309, CRC check 311, and logic unit 313. In the preferred embodiment of the present invention receiver 300 estimates the transmitted sequence and, not knowing the format combination being utilized, makes estimates of the information bits for each of the $\prod_{i=1}^I J_i$ possible transport format combinations. *I* CRC metrics are determined (one for each transport or data channel) for each possible transport format combination,

and these I CRC metrics are combined into a single transport format combination metric for the particular transport format combination being tested. Testing all $\prod_{i=1}^I J_i$ possible transport format combinations results in I CRC metrics for each transport format combination, and a single transport format combination metric for each possible transport format combination. The transport format combination with the greatest transport format combination metric is the estimate of the transport format combination that is currently being utilized by transmitter 200.

Operation of receiver 300 occurs as follows: Logic unit 313 knows the possible transport formats via Layer-3 negotiation as described in TS section 8.2.5 of the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) TS 25.331 v3.2.0. Over-the-air signal 209 enters de-spreader 301 and is despread according to the shortest channelization code used in the set of possible transport format combinations and stored in buffer 302. The despread signal is passed to a linear combiner 303 where appropriate combining to form a channel symbol for a first transport format combination (TFC_n) is accomplished. The linear combinations utilized by linear combiner 303 are based on the current transport format combination being utilized by the receiver. For example, suppose there are two transport format combinations. The data rate of the multiplexed transport channels under the first transport format combination requires a spreading factor of 32, i.e., each channel symbol is spread by a 32 chip sequence. With the second transport format combination, the multiplexed transport channels has a smaller data rate and only requires a spreading factor 64. The channelization code of length 64 is equal to the concatenation of the 32 chip channelization code used under the first transport format combination. Despreading with the 64 chip code can therefore be accomplished by first despreading consecutive 32 chip segments of the received signal, storing the two results in a buffer, and summing, that is linearly combining them. (See TS section 4.3.1 of the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) TS 25.213 v3.2.0.) The combining coefficient utilized by linear combiner 303 are based upon current transport format combination being utilized, and are input from logic circuitry 313.

The resulting binary sequence are routed to de-multiplexer 305. In particular, de-multiplexer 305 de-multiplexes the data stream according to a particular transport format combination (in this example TFC_n). This results in I data streams, one for each transport channel. This is followed by rate de-matching

circuitry 305 that serves to insert dummy information bits where bits were punctured in the rate matcher 203 (FIG. 2) or combine bits where bits were repeated in the rate matcher 203 (FIG. 2).

- The I data streams are each decoded and passed to CRC check circuitry 305, where an appropriate CRC metric is obtained for each channel. The data stream is also stored in storage 315. The CRC metrics are then passed to logic circuitry 313 where an appropriate transport format combination metric is determined for TFC_n. The above process continues (in serial or parallel) until a transport format combination metric is determined for all possible transport format combinations. Once a transport format combination metric has been determined for all transport format combinations, logic unit 313 instructs storage 315 to pass the decoded data associated with the largest transport format combination metric.

Determination of transport format combination metric

- As described above, transmitter 200 encodes, rates matches and combines the plurality of transport channels into a single binary sequence. The sequence is modulated and transmitted. Receiver 300 estimates this sequence and, not knowing the format combination in force, makes estimates of the information bits under each possible format combination hypothesis. The estimate of i th transport channel data vector under the hypothesis of format combination k is denoted $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i^k$. Therefore, for each hypothesized format combination, k , $k=1,2,\dots,K$, a set of estimated vectors $\{\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i^k, i=1,2,\dots,I\}$ are generated. Let c_i^k be equal to '1' if the i th transport channel data estimate, $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i^k$, under the format combination hypothesis k , is correct, i.e., is equal to the true i th transport channel data \mathbf{u}_i :

$$c_i^k = \begin{cases} 1, & \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i^k = \mathbf{u}_i \\ 0, & \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i^k \neq \mathbf{u}_i \end{cases}, \quad i=1,2,\dots,I \text{ and } k=1,2,\dots,K \quad (1)$$

In other words, c_i^k is equal to '1' when the decoded data for the i th transport channel is correct.

- Define \underline{c}^k to be the vector

$$\underline{c}^k = [c_1^k \ c_2^k \ \dots \ c_I^k], \quad k=1,2,\dots,K \quad (2)$$

and the collection of these vectors into the matrix \mathbf{C}

$$\mathbf{C} = [\underline{c}^1 \ \underline{c}^2 \ \dots \ \underline{c}^K]^T. \quad (3)$$

Obviously, given $k = k$, matrix C has the following form:

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{0} \\ \vdots \\ \underline{0} \\ \underline{e}^k \\ \underline{0} \\ \vdots \\ \underline{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ c_1^k & c_2^k & \cdots & c_I^k \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$P(c_i^k = 0) = P(\hat{\underline{u}}_i^k \neq \underline{u}_i \mid k = k) = e_i^k, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, I \quad (4)$$

and

$$P(c_i^k = 1) = P(\hat{\underline{u}}_i^k = \underline{u}_i \mid k = k) = 1 - e_i^k, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, I. \quad (5)$$

A CRC check is performed on each of the estimated transport channel data vectors $\{\hat{\underline{u}}_i^k, i = 1, 2, \dots, I\}$ for each transport combination hypothesis to yield a set of binary valued variables, $\{r_i^k, i = 1, 2, \dots, I\}$, where $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$, r_i^k is equal to '1' if the p_i bit CRC of the i th transport channel passes on the vector on vector \underline{u}_i^k and '0' otherwise.

The CRC checks corresponding to format combination k are organized into the vector

$$\underline{r}^k = [r_1^k \ r_2^k \ \cdots \ r_I^k], \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, K \quad (6)$$

and the combination of these vectors into the observation matrix R :

$$R = [\underline{r}^1 \ \underline{r}^2 \ \cdots \ \underline{r}^K]^T. \quad (7)$$

The problem is to estimate $\hat{k} \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$, the transport format combination, given the set of CRC checks R . The solution would then be

$$\hat{k} = \arg \max_{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}} \{P(R, C, k)\}. \quad (8)$$

Decomposition of $P(R, C, k)$:

25

Taking the log of $P(R, C, k)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\ln P(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{k}) &= \ln P(\mathbf{R} | \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{k}) + \ln P(\mathbf{C} | \mathbf{k}) + \ln P(\mathbf{k}) \\ &= \ln P(\mathbf{R} | \underline{\mathbf{c}}^k, \mathbf{k}) + \ln P(\underline{\mathbf{c}}^k | \mathbf{k}) + \ln P(\mathbf{k})\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

The first term can be simplified by making the reasonable assumption that $\mathbf{r}^1, \mathbf{r}^2, \dots, \mathbf{r}^K$ are conditionally independent given $\underline{\mathbf{c}}^k$ and \mathbf{k} . We then have

$$\ln P(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{k}) = \sum_{j=1}^K \ln P(\mathbf{r}^j | \underline{\mathbf{c}}^k, \mathbf{k}) + \ln P(\underline{\mathbf{c}}^k | \mathbf{k}) + \ln P(\mathbf{k}). \quad (10)$$

- 5 The first two terms are two statistics based on received data. The remaining terms are *a priori* information.

Calculation of $\sum_{j=1}^K \ln P(\mathbf{r}^j | \underline{\mathbf{c}}^k, \mathbf{k})$:

- 10 The CRC results for the transport channel data estimate vectors under the transport format combination hypothesis different from the one in force are independent of the correctness of the transport channel data estimate under the hypothesis of the transport combination in force, i.e.,

$$P(\mathbf{r}^j | \underline{\mathbf{c}}^k, \mathbf{k}) = P(\mathbf{r}^j | \mathbf{k}), \quad j \neq k. \quad (11)$$

The CRC results for different transport channels are also independent

- 15
$$\ln P(\underline{\mathbf{r}}^j | \mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^J \ln P(r_i^j | \mathbf{k}), \quad j \neq k. \quad (12)$$

The individual term in above is the log of the probability of the CRC passing for a wrong transport format combination. A common approximation to this probability is given by

$$P(r_i^j | \mathbf{k}) = \begin{cases} 2^{-p_i}, & r_i^j = 1 \\ 1 - 2^{-p_i}, & r_i^j = 0 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

- 20 or

$$\ln P(r_i^j | \mathbf{k}) = \begin{cases} -p_i, & r_i^j = 1 \\ \ln(1 - 2^{-p_i}) \approx 0, & r_i^j = 0 \end{cases}. \quad (14)$$

We therefore have

$$\sum_{j=1}^K \ln P(\mathbf{r}^j | \underline{\mathbf{c}}^k, \mathbf{k}) = \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^J \ln P(r_i^j | \mathbf{k}) = - \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^J p_i r_i^j. \quad (15)$$

- 25 Calculation of $\ln P(\underline{\mathbf{r}}^k | \underline{\mathbf{c}}^k, \mathbf{k})$:

Under AWGN channel, the CRC results of the transport channels are independent

$$\ln P(\underline{r}^k | \underline{c}^k, \mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(r_i^k | c_i^k, \mathbf{k}). \quad (16)$$

The terms in the above can be evaluated from the following:

$$P(r_i^k | c_i^k, \mathbf{k}) = \begin{cases} 1, & c_i^k = 1, r_i^k = 1 \\ 0, & c_i^k = 1, r_i^k = 0 \\ 2^{-p_i}, & c_i^k = 0, r_i^k = 1 \\ 1 - 2^{-p_i}, & c_i^k = 0, r_i^k = 0 \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

5 or

$$\ln P(r_i^k | c_i^k, \mathbf{k}) = \begin{cases} 0, & c_i^k = 1, r_i^k = 1 \\ -\infty, & c_i^k = 1, r_i^k = 0 \\ -p_i, & c_i^k = 0, r_i^k = 1 \\ 0, & c_i^k = 0, r_i^k = 0 \end{cases}. \quad (18)$$

Calculation of $\ln P(\underline{c}^k | \mathbf{k})$:

- 10 Calculation of $\ln P(\underline{c}^k | \mathbf{k})$ requires the joint transport channel data block error rates. If these errors are independent, which is the case for AWGN channel, we have

$$\ln P(\underline{c}^k | \mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(c_i^k | \mathbf{k}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^I \ln e_i^k, & c_i^k = 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^I \ln(1 - e_i^k), & c_i^k = 1 \end{cases}. \quad (19)$$

Calculation of $\ln P(\mathbf{k})$:

15

Assume the transmission of each transport format is equally likely. We then have

$$\ln P(\mathbf{k}) = -\ln K \quad (20)$$

which is a constant.

20 *MAP Detection:*

The MAP rule discussed before can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{k} &= \arg \max_k \left\{ -\sum_{j \neq k}^K \sum_{i=1}^I p_i r_i^j + \max_{\underline{c}^k} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(r_i^k | c_i^k, k) + \sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(c_i^k | k) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \arg \max_k \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I p_i r_i^k + \max_{\underline{c}^k} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(r_i^k | c_i^k, k) + \sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(c_i^k | k) \right\} \right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

For a given \underline{r}^k , the \underline{c}^k which maximizes

$$\sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(r_i^k | c_i^k, k) + \sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(c_i^k | k) \quad (22)$$

can be found from the following two observations:

- 5 1) If $r_i^k = 0$, then the first term in (22) is $-\infty$ unless $c_i^k = 0$. Therefore

$$\max_{\underline{c}^k} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(r_i^k | c_i^k, k) + \sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(c_i^k | k) \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^I \ln e_i^k, \quad c_i^k = r_i^k = 0. \quad (23)$$

- 2) If $r_i^k = 1$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(r_i^k | c_i^k, k) + \sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(c_i^k | k) = \begin{cases} -p_i + \sum_{i=1}^I \ln e_i^k, & c_i^k = 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^I \ln(1 - e_i^k), & c_i^k = 1 \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Assuming $e_i^k < 0.5$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, I$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$, we have

$$10 \max_{\underline{c}^k} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(r_i^k | c_i^k, k) + \sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(c_i^k | k) \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^I \ln(1 - e_i^k), \quad c_i^k = r_i^k = 1. \quad (25)$$

Combining (23) and (25), we know that the maximizing \underline{c}^k is equal to \underline{r}^k , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{\underline{c}^k} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(r_i^k | c_i^k, k) + \sum_{i=1}^I \ln P(c_i^k | k) \right\} &= \sum_{i=1}^I \ln e_i^k + r_i^k (\ln(1 - e_i^k) - \ln e_i^k) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^I \ln e_i^k + r_i^k \ln \frac{1 - e_i^k}{e_i^k}.\end{aligned}\quad (26)$$

Substituting (26) into (21) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{k} &= \arg \max_{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I \left(p_i r_i^k + r_i^k \ln \frac{1 - e_i^k}{e_i^k} + \ln e_i^k \right) \right\} \\ &= \arg \max_{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I \left(\left(p_i + \ln \frac{1 - e_i^k}{e_i^k} \right) r_i^k + \ln e_i^k \right) \right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (27)$$

15

FIG. 4 is a flow chart showing operation of receiver 300 in accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention. The following flow chart

describes the procedure for determining a transport format from the K hypothesized transport format combinations using the results derived above.

The logic flow begins at step 401 where an over-the-air signal is received and properly despread by despreader 301 according to the shortest channel symbol (i.e., the channel symbol spread by the shortest channelization code) in the transport format combination set. The resulting shortest symbol stream exits despreader 301. It should be noted that different transport format combinations require *further* despreading (linear combiner 303) with different channelization codes. In particular, since spreading was performed by the transmitter by spreading with differing-length channelization codes, despreading needs to take place utilizing these differing-length codes. Since each transport format combination requires further despreading with differing channelization codes by linearly combining the shortest symbols, the shortest symbol stream exiting despreader 301 needs to be buffered at the shortest symbol level for at least a whole radio frame (step 403).

To avoid buffering the signal at the chip level, in the preferred embodiment of the present invention the channelization codes used for different transport format combinations of the transport format combination set all belong to the same code family. (See TS section 4.3.1.1 of the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) TS 25.213 v3.2.0). Therefore, only the channel symbols with the shortest channelization code (i.e., the parent code) need to be buffered at step 403. Since the shortest code is the parent code of all other codes, the channel symbols for different length of channel codes can be obtained by linear combination of the shortest channel symbols.

As discussed above, a transport format combination metric will need to be obtained for each transport format combination. Because of this, at step 405 k is set to 1 and logic unit 313 instructs despreader 307 to despread the buffered signal using a channelization code associated with the k th transport format (step 407). In particular, at step 407 the physical channel symbols are despread based on the shortest channelization codes $C_{ch, SF, i}$ used in the transport format combination set, where $i' = \min_i \{i = SF_k / 4, k = 1, 2, \dots, K\}$, SF_k is the spreading factor for the k th transport format combination. The physical channel symbols are demodulated under transport format combination hypothesis TFC^k using the linear combination of the demodulated shortest channel symbols. The I transport channel

frames for the k th transport format combination is decoded (step 409) and I CRC values obtained (step 411). In particular, for the k th transport format combination a CRC is obtained for each of the I channels. So for transport combination k , I CRC values are obtained (one for each data channel) to produce the decoding information:

$$\{CRC_i^k, i=1,2,\dots,I\}.$$

- These values and the decoded data are stored in storage 315 (step 413) and the logic flow continues to step 415 where it is determined if $k=K$, and if not k is incremented (step 417) and the logic flow returns to step 407, otherwise the logic flow continues to step 419 where transport format combination metrics are determined for each of the transport format combinations. In particular, when block error rates e_i^k , $i=1,2,\dots,I$, $k=1,2,\dots,K$ are not available, the simplified form of (27) is used to determine the transport format combination:

$$\hat{k} = \arg \max_{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I p_i CRC_i^k \right\}$$

- where $p_i \in \{24,16,12,8,0\}$ and CRC_i^k equals to 1 if the TTI frame under hypothesis TF_i^k for the i th transport channel passes the CRC check; and CRC_i^k equals to 0 if the TTI frame under hypothesis TF_i^k fails the CRC check or CRC result is not available, e.g., in the middle of the TTI boundary which happens when the transport format for different transport channels have different TTI lengths.

Whenever the block error rates are made available through measurement, (27) can be used for better accuracy:

$$\hat{k} = \arg \max_{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I \left(\left(p_i + \ln \frac{1-e_i^k}{e_i^k} \right) CRC_i^k + \ln e_i^k \right) \right\}.$$

The estimated transport format for the i th transport channel is then $TF_i^{\hat{k}}$. It should be noted that if all CRCs are zero, the entire frame shall be declared as erasure. The transport format is assumed to be the same as previous transport format.

- Finally, at step 421, logic unit 313 instructs storage 315 to pass decoded data associated with the largest transport format combination metric.

While the invention has been particularly shown and described with reference to a particular embodiment, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes in form and details may be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. It is intended that such changes come
5 within the scope of the following claims.

09973339 100904